*Mobile CSP Non-AP Create Rubric*

| Critère | Exceeding (4) | Meeting (3) | Approaching (2) | Beginning (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Fonctionnalités de l'appli** | ● App works well and as intended<br>● App accomplishes its goal well<br>● Naming system followed in code | ● App works well<br>● App accomplishes goal<br>● Naming system followed in code | ● App accomplishes some of the goals<br>● Naming system partially used | ● App does not meet stated goals<br>● App does not work |
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |
| **Complexité de l'appli** | ● Data (variables, and list, and/or databases for Create 2) used<br>● Multiple user-defined Procedures<br>● At least one procedure with parameters in Create 2<br>● Sequence and multiple selection statements used | ● Variables and/or lists used<br>● One user-defined procedure used<br>● Sequence statements and one selection statement used | ● Variables used<br>● Sequence statements used | ● App does not meet any of the complexity requirements |
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |
| **Design Interface utilisateur** | ● User interface is well organized and neatly arranged<br>● App is intuitive for user to use | ● User interface has very minor layout problems<br>● App is easy to use | ● User interface has layout problems<br>● App is not easy to use | ● User interface is incomplete<br>● App is difficult to use |
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |

| Description écrite | • App purpose, goal and audience are clearly explained<br>• Screenshots of app and code blocks are included<br>• Code blocks clearly explained<br>• Clear technical writing | • App purpose, goal and audience are clearly explained<br>• Screenshots of app and code blocks are included<br>• Code blocks are explained | • App purpose, goal and audience are identified<br>• Screenshots are incomplete<br>• Code blocks partially explained | • Written description is unclear or incomplete<br>• Key blocks missing, explanations lacking |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |

| Criteria | Highest Quality (4) | Good Quality (3) | Partially Meets (2) | Needs Improvement (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Utilité sociale | • App solves an identified problem for a target audience (student or community) | • Clear target audience identified<br>• App mostly solves problem | • Target audience identified<br>• App partially helps that audience | • App does not serve a social purpose |
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |
| Présentation démonstration<br><br>(Live and/or video) | • App is clearly presented with professionalism and enthusiasm<br>• Presentation is well-organized and uses time efficiently<br>• App capabilities are clearly demonstrated | • App is clearly presented<br>• Presentation is well-organized and uses time well<br>• App capabilities are demonstrated | • App is presented<br>• Presentation is somewhat organized<br>• Most of the app capabilities are demonstrated | • App demonstration is missing key components and is not well-organized |
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |

| Design Process | ● Viable improvements made between app iterations<br>● User perspective incorporated throughout<br>● Frequent, routine user testing | ● Viable improvements made between app iterations<br>● User perspective incorporated<br>● User perspective/ testing partially included | ● Some improvements made between app iterations<br>● User perspective/ testing partially included | ● Little improvements made between app iterations<br>● User perspective/testing missing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |
| **Collaboration & Teamwork**<br>(*evaluate using student reflections in the write-up and teacher observations*) | ● Team members collaborated effectively as a team<br>● Individual role(s) on team explored thoughtfully<br>● Team members all contributed significantly throughout design process | ● Team members collaborated well<br>● Individual role(s) on team explored<br>● Team members all contributed through most of the design process. | ● Team members collaborated<br>● Individual role(s) on team identified<br>● Team members did not all contribute | ● Team members did not collaborate well<br>● Team members did not each contribute to overall project |
| | Score_____ Comments: | | | |

**Project Submission**

Students are responsible for submitting the following:

- App distribution flyer in digital format that could be printed as a poster. Flyer includes:
  - Written description
  - Informative image
  - Link to AIA file
  - Link to APK file
  - Link to video (if applicable)

- Written paper with 3 main parts:
  - Explain the app and development
  - Document of code (for future developers)
  - Reflect on final product and performance of team

- Video and oral presentation